

Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences Minerals Lab; Attn: Dr. Kackstaetter Campus Box 22, P.O.Box 173362 Denver, CO 80217-3362

- Erase &/or replace everything in LIGHT GRAY print with your own writing in black -

#### [PROJECT TITLE]

[Your title should summarize the purpose of the paper & should include the mineral name.]

[YOUR NAME]

[GROUP PARTNER NAMES IF APPLICABLE]

[DATE]

[COURSE ID]

for

[CLIENT NAME]
[CLIENT CONTACT INFORMATION]

Disclaimer: While every care has been taken to correctly identify the submitted specimen, information contained in this report is to be considered "AS-IS" and NO WARRANTY for its correctness is given or implied. Neither Metropolitan State College of Denver, the Department of Earth & Atmospheric Science nor its affiliates, professors and students shall be liable for any loss or damage to submitted mineral samples nor for any damages, including but not limited to injuries, loss of property or profits, or incidental, consequential, exemplary, special or other damages that may result from use of reported analytical results.

Comments:

□ Discard sample after analysis:

□ Please Return Sample: □ SASE included or □Will pick up.

Voluntary Suggested Donation:

□ \$60 □ \$30 □ \$15 □ \$8

(Make Checks payable to MSU Denver

Earth Science Foundation)

Last Name:	First Name, MI:	Date:
Address:	City:	State, ZIP
Phone:	Email:	
MINERAL SAMPLE INFORM	ATION & ANALYTICAL REQUEST:	
Short Mineral Description:		
Where found (approx. location o.k.):		
(uppress recursor essay)		
Suggestion: For destructive sample test	ing, please submit additional secondary sample chips of same mater	ial
Suggestion: For destructive sample testa  □ BASIC MINERAL IDENTIF	ing, please submit additional secondary sample chips of same mater ICATION incl. XRF - NON DESTRUCTIVE	
Suggestion: For destructive sample testi  □ BASIC MINERAL IDENTIF  □ ADD'L WET CHEMICAL Q	ing, please submit additional secondary sample chips of same mater ICATION incl. XRF - NON DESTRUCTIVE PUALITATIVE ANALYSIS (ICP-MS / AAS / Chroma	tographic) - DESTRUCTIVE
□ BASIC MINERAL IDENTIF □ ADD'L WET CHEMICAL Q	ing, please submit additional secondary sample chips of same mater ICATION incl. XRF - NON DESTRUCTIVE DUALITATIVE ANALYSIS (ICP-MS / AAS / Chroma Mount - DESTRUCTIVE;   Refractometer -limited to	tographic) - DESTRUCTIVE

By submitting the mineral sample for analysis I agree to following terms and condition: Neither Metropolitan State University of Denver, the Department of Earth & Atmospheric Science nor its affiliates, professors and students shall be liable for any loss or damage to submitted mineral samples nor for any damages, including but not limited to injuries, loss of property or profits, or incidental, consequential, exemplary, special or other damages that may result from use of reported analytical results.

□ Just mail report or □Will pick up report

#### Introduction

Thank you for choosing the mineral analytical services at the mineral laboratories of the Earth and Atmospheric Sciences Department at the Metropolitan State University of Denver. Your request has aided in the training of future geoscience professionals, endowing them with valuable skills and knowledge in mineral assessment and identification.

The final outcome of our investigation is summarized below followed by the results for each specific analytical procedure as indicated in the Table of Contents. We hope that this report will be helpful to you, further your endeavors and satisfy you curiosity.

Please let us know if we can be of future service which could include larger projects involving an undergraduate researcher. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely

Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Uwe Richard Kackstaetter (Dr.K)
Full Professor of Geology - Specializing in Applied Geology and Mineralogy
Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences

Metropolitan State University of Denver Office: SI2025 (303) 615-0789 kackstae@msudenver.edu

# **Table of Contents**

MINERAL IDENTIFICATION RESULTS	. 4
RESULTS COMPARISON - ANALYTICAL vs. MINERAL DATA	. 5
SPECIFIC GRAVITY DETERMINATION	. 6
HARDNESS, COLOR, LUSTER, STREAK  MOHS HARDNESS (HM) TEST  COLOR TEST  LUSTER TEST  STREAK TEST	. 7 . 7 . 8
MAGNETISM, RADIOACTIVITY, ORGANOLEPTIC, UV  MAGNETISM TEST  RADIOACTIVITY TEST  ORGANOLEPTIC TEST.  UV LIGHT EMISSION TEST	. 9 . 9
SIMPLE CHEMISTRY & FLAME TESTING. FUSIBILITY & FLAME COLOR OPEN & CLOSED TUBE TEST SOLUBILITY TEST. ION CHROMATOGRAPHY PAPER TEST	12 12 13
DRY XRF and XRD ANALYSISXRF (X-RAY FLUORESCENCE) SEMI QUANTITATIVE CHEMICAL ANALYSISXRD (X-RAY DIFFRACTION) CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS with DATA INTERPRETATION	16
WET GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS	
OPTICAL MINERAL IDENTIFICATION.  GEM REFRACTOMETER ASSESSMENT.  POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPE (PLM) ASSESSMENT OF SAMPLE GRAINS.	20
CITATIONS	22

#### MINERAL IDENTIFICATION RESULTS

Mineral name: [Mineral Name] Mineral group: [Mineral Group]

Chemical Formula: [Chemical Formula]

[(Paste Picture of Client Sample here)] Locality:

[Describe collection locality as indicated by the client or "unknown"]

Sample submitted by:

[List client name and contact information]

[Conclusion / Interpretation of results goes here. Write a few short paragraphs in professional, but simple laymen terms explaining the outcome of your investigation.

OBJECTIVE: Interpretation of results and support for all of your conclusions, using ascertained experimental or observational evidence. The significance of findings should be clearly described.

Explain a phenomenon by describing mechanisms and/or supporting evidence that may account for the observation. Interpret the results holistically by connecting individual analytical results., e.g.; paper chromatography, XRF data and wet geochemical analysis confirm the presence of Fe and Ti in the sample.

Try to offer alternative explanations if reasonable alternatives exist. If needed, imply that a decision can not be made with confidence based on detailed reasoning.

Refer to any of the subsequent analytical results pages.

AVOID rehashing results here!]

## **RESULTS COMPARISON - ANALYTICAL vs. MINERAL DATA**

Anal	Analytical Results Summary			Mineral Data
			Likely Mineral:	[Suspected Mineral Name]
Density (Avg):	[methods avg] g/cm <sup>3</sup>	±[avg spread] g/cm³	Density:	[Researched Density] g/cm³
Mohs Hardness:	[hardness]		Mohs Hardness:	[Researched Hardness]
Color (fresh):	[descriptive]	[Munsell]	Color:	[Researched color(s)]
Luster (fresh):	[descriptive]		Luster:	[Researched Luster]
Streak:	[descriptive]	[Munsell]	Streak:	[Researched Streak]
Magnetism:	[yes - no]	[Tesla] μT	Magnetism:	[Researched Magnetism]
Radioactivity:	[yes - no]	[counts] cps	Radioactivity:	[Researched Radioactivity]
Organoleptic:	[descriptive]		Organoleptic:	[Researched Organoleptic]
UV Light (LW):	[descriptive]		UV Light (LW):	[Researched UV LW]
UV Light (SW):	[descriptive]		UV Light (SW):	[Researched UV SW]
Fusibility:	[Fus. #]		Fusibility:	[Researched Fus. #]
Solubility:	[descriptive]		Solubility:	[Researched solubility]
Ion Chromat.:	[list ions detected]		Chemical Formula	
XRF Analysis:	[list major elements	detected]	[Researched chemical sum formula]	
Wet Geochem:	[list major elements	detected]		
XRD Crystal.:	[insert mini XRD pa	nttern]	XRD Crystal.:	[insert res. mini pattern]
	Optical		Optical	
Cleavage:	[descriptive]		Cleavage:	[Researched cleavage]
Color:	[descriptive PPL col	lor]	Color:	[Researched PPL color]
Relief:	[descriptive PPL rel	ief]	Relief:	[Researched PPL relief]
RI:	[measured RI or RI	range]	RI:	[Researched RI]
Optics:	[list isotropy, optic a extinction]	axis, sign	Optics:	[researched isotropy, optic axis, sign, extinction]

# **SPECIFIC GRAVITY DETERMINATION**

Density: [me	ulation: n; use equation editor	1	T.,1,,,,,	
Density: [me		1		
Density: [me		1		
Density: [me		1		
Density: [me		1	T	
Density: [me		1		
YCNOMETER		J 	where: [explanation of equation holders]	n symbols and space
PYCNOMETER  Method:	neasured avg] g/cm <sup>3</sup>	±[spread] g/cm <sup>3</sup>		
	Precision Error:	[percent] %	Precision:	[percent] %
	Accuracy Error:	[percent] %	Accuracy:	[percent] %
Equation / Calcul	ulation: n; use equation editor	]	where: [explanation of equation holders]	on symbols and space
	neasured avg] g/cm <sup>3</sup>	±[spread] g/cm <sup>3</sup>		
Density: [me	Precision Error:	[percent] %	Precision:	[percent] %
Density: [me	Accuracy Error:	[percent] %	Accuracy:	[percent] %
Density: [mo				

# HARDNESS, COLOR, LUSTER, STREAK

MOHS HARDNES	36 (1111) 1E31			
Method:				
Mohs Hardness	(HM): [numeric Moh	s Comments: [if a	npplicablel	
	hardness value		·pp···ou	
		1		
COLOR TEST				
Method:				
		T		
Ov	erall Color Assessment:	☐ Idiochromatic	☐ Allochromatic	☐ Pseudochromatic
FRESH	Munsell Color Code:	Descriptive Color:		
SURFACE	[Enter Munsell	_	color descriptor, eg,	reddish vellow
	Code	brown]	color descriptor, eg,	10dd1511 y0110W
		_		
WEATHERED	Munsell Color Code:	Descriptive Color:	1 1	1111 11
SURFACE	[Enter Munsell		color descriptor, e.g.	., reddish yellow
	Code]	brown]		
<b>~</b>	11 11 1			
Comments:   11 ap	plicable			
Comments: [if ap	plicable			
Comments: [1f ap	plicable			

LUSTER TEST		
Method:		
Overall Luster Assess	ment:	Metallic □ Non-Metallic
Descriptive Luster:		
STREAK TEST		
Method:		
g. 1.0.1	1, 1, 3, 3, 1	
Streak Color Fresh Surface:	Munsell Color Code: [Enter Munsell Code]	Descriptive Color:  [enter short Munsell color descriptor, eg, reddish yellow brown]
Streak Color	Munsell Color Code:	Descriptive Color:
Weathered Surface:	[Enter Munsell Code]	[enter short Munsell color descriptor, eg, reddish yellow brown]
Overall Streak Color A	Assessment:	
Possible Minerals: [ v	use STREAK in conjun	action with HM ]
Comments: [if applic	cable]	

# MAGNETISM, RADIOACTIVITY, ORGANOLEPTIC, UV

٨	πA	GN	ריתו	TC	M	TE	<b>CT</b>
I	$\Delta A$	( TI	L J	.13	VI	1 12	<b>51</b>

Method:			

	Magnetic Field Strength	± Precision	% Precision Error
Non-Magnetic Background Reference Reading	[insert instrument gauss reading average]	[insert ± spread in gauss]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %
Ferrous Magnet Reference Reading	[insert instrument gauss reading average]	[insert ± spread in gauss]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %
Magnetite Mineral Reference Reading	[insert instrument gauss reading average]	[insert ± spread in gauss]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %
SAMPLE	[insert instrument gauss reading average]	[insert ± spread in gauss]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %
SAMPLE heat treated	[insert instrument gauss reading average]	[insert ± spread in gauss]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %

#### RADIOACTIVITY TEST

Tu ibionic ii i	111 1201			
Method:				

	Gamma Emission	± Precision	% Precision Error
Background Reference Reading	[insert instrument CPS reading average]	[insert ± spread in CPS]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %
SAMPLE	[insert instrument CPS reading average]	[insert ± spread in CPS]	[insert Precision Error in percent] %

### ORGANOLEPTIC TEST

Method:			

TEST	DESCRIPTIVE OBSERVATION
TASTE	[short descriptive observation]
SMELL unmoistened	[short descriptive observation]
SMELL moistened	[short descriptive observation]
SMELL slightly heated	[short descriptive observation]

Comments: [if applicable]		

### **UV LIGHT EMISSION TEST**

Method:		

TEST	Observation	Possible Activators	Photo
Long Wave UV Light (365 nm) Response: □Yes □No	[color & intensity, e.g.; weak blue- green]	[elemental or mineral activators]	[insert photo]
Long Wave activated Phosphoresence Response: □Yes □No	[color & intensity, e.g.; weak blue- green] [time: e.g. for ~ 60s]		[insert photo]

Short Wave UV Light (254 nm) Response: □Yes □No	[color & intensity, e.g.; weak blue- green]	[elemental or mineral activators]	[insert photo]
Long Wave activated Phosphoresence Response: □Yes □No	[color & intensity, e.g.; weak blue- green] [time: e.g. for ~ 60s]		[insert photo]
Thermoluminescence Response: □Yes □No	[color & intensity, e.g.; weak blue- green] [time: e.g. for ~ 60s]	[elemental or mineral activators]	[insert photo]
Triboluminscence Response: □Yes □No	Descriptive Observation:		

Comments: [if applicable]			

## SIMPLE CHEMISTRY & FLAME TESTING

Method:				
Fusibility: [describe: black glob	e.g. "Fuses to	Fusibility #:	Comments:	
	Flame Color:		Possible Element	es:
Flame (	Color w/ blue filter:		Possible Element	es:
Open Tube Test:	Descriptive Observa	tion & Interpretation:		[insert picture]
Closed Tube Test:	Descriptive Observa	tion & Interpretation:		[insert picture]

Method:	<u> FEST</u>			
Test Solution	Solubility	Reaction	Odors	
Water				
1:5 HCl				
1:1 HCl				
1:1 H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>				
1:1 HNO <sub>3</sub>				
Aqua Regia				
Method:				
Intreated Chro	matograph			
Jiii cated Cine	1 1 . 0 .		40417	
	sideways photo of untre	eated chromatograph. Erase this	text!]	
	sideways photo of untre	eated chromatograph. Erase this	text!]	
	sideways photo of untre	eated chromatograph. Erase this	text!]	
	sideways photo of untre	eated chromatograph. Erase this	text!]	
	sideways photo of untre	eated chromatograph. Erase this	text!]	
	sideways photo of untre	eated chromatograph. Erase this	text!]	

8-Hydroxyquinoline treated Chromatograph
[insert edited sideways photo of 8-Hydroxyquinoline treated chromatograph Erase this text!]
Comments:
Treated Chromatograph under UV light
[insert edited sideways photo of treated chromatograph under UV light. Erase this text!]
Comments:
NH₄OH treated Chromatograph
[insert edited sideways photo of NH <sub>4</sub> OH treated chromatograph, if applicable. Erase this text!]
Comments:

Paper Chromatography Results [Insert add'l row if more ions are detected]

Probable Detected Ions with corrected R <sub>f</sub>		R <sub>f</sub> Correction Factor: [R <sub>f</sub> value]		
[Chem. Symbol Ion 1; Rf (corrected)]	[Chem. Symbol Ion 2; Rf (corrected)]	[Chem. Symbol Ion 3; Rf (corrected)]		
Interpretation:				

#### **DRY XRF and XRD ANALYSIS**

### XRF (X-RAY FLUORESCENCE) SEMI QUANTITATIVE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Non-destructive XRF (x-ray fluorescence) measurements help qualify elemental composition. This test is effective for trace elements with an atomic number of Fe (iron) and greater. Estimates for other elements, such as Si, Ca, Mg can also be obtained if the concentrations are sufficiently high.

Method:	
[Insert Low Energy XRF Spectra - 15.00kV.]	Elements present:
	Probable Element Traces:
	Comments:

[Insert High Energy XRF Spectra - 40.00kV.]	Elements present:
	Probable Element Traces:
	Comments:
XRD (X-RAY DIFFRACTION) CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS wit	h DATA INTERPRETATION
The X-ray diffractometer (XRD) identifies unique crystal structures of crystal lattice spacings. Because lattices and unit cell dimensions in a crystal XRD pattern acts like a fingerprint when identifying an unknown sample also be assessed, especially when some of the sample mineralogy is known requires a small amount of finely powdered sample.	stal are unique for specific minerals, ple. Mineral mixtures or phases can
Method:	

XRD Analysis:							
[(Paste your MEASURED XRD pattern graphic here)] [Label peak	s especia	lly for mu	iltiple phas	ses]			
X-ray Pattern							
Major Peaks and d-spacing: [Sorted according to your	2 θ						
measurement]	d Å						
	$I/I_1$	100					
[only change if a different x-ray tube is used] $\lambda$ 1.5405 Rad: CuK $\alpha_1$							
XRD pattern interpretation / comments:							

### WET GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Diges:	tive Me	ethoo	1:													
Analy	rtical M	etho	od:													
	$SiO_2$	Α	$l_2O_3$	CaO	MgO	K <sub>2</sub> O	Na <sub>2</sub> O	Fe <sub>2</sub>	$O_3$	Mn	О	TiC	$O_2$	$P_3O_4$	$Cr_2O_3$	LOI
%																
	As	32	$\mathbf{B}^3$	Ba	Be	C <sup>4</sup>	Со	Cu	L	i <sup>3</sup>	Mo	0	Ni	Pb	Rb	S
ppı	m															
									·				Rac	lioactive	,	
	St	2	Se	Sn	Sr	Te <sup>2</sup>	V	W	Z	n	Zr		Th	U		
ppı	m															
			l	1	ı		1		1			l		ı		
		Precious Metals Rare Earth and others														

ppm

	Rare Earth and others											
	La	Lu	Nb	Nd	Pr	Sc	Sm	Та	Tb	Tm	Y	Yb
ppm												

<sup>1</sup> Loss on ignition

<sup>2</sup> Volatile! Partial loss on digestion.

<sup>3</sup> Part of lithium borate flux used for digestion. Value inaccurate.

<sup>4</sup> Inaccurate! Graphite crucibles used for digestion

[Leave all fields blank that show NO or Zero detection]

# OPTICAL MINERAL IDENTIFICATION

Method:			
Sample IR or IR Range	Sample Birefringence	Sample Optic Axis	Sample Optic sign
Result Interpretation:			
Comments::			

POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPE (PLM) ASSES  Method:	SWENT OF SAMELE GRAINS
Observations in Plain Polarized Light (PPL)	Observations in Crossed Polarized Light (XPL)
[Insert labeled PPL picture]	[Insert labeled XPL picture]
CI	
Cleavage:	☐ Isotropic ☐ Anisotropic
Color:	Highest Birefringence Color / Order:
Pleochroism:	Extinction & Angles: [Average 3 measurements]
Relief:	- Entire view of Fingless (increase a measurements)
Estimated IR or IR-Range:	Interference Figure Assessment:
_	☐ UNIAXIAL ☐ Positive ☐ BIAXIAL ☐ Positive
	☐ Negative ☐ Negative
	2V Angle:
Result Interpretation:	
Comments:	

### **CITATIONS**

[insert citations in USGS format]

[Cite the Munsell Color chart if used]

[Cite any software apps used, e.g.; radioactivity, magnetism cell phone apps]

[For XRD, cite any software used, as well as webmineral.com and RRUFF data bases when used]