

UR GEOLOGY: Peer Reviewer

You are required to PEER REVIEW the work of your fellow students. You will do this either ONLINE in CANVAS or in a paper version during a showcase presentations. Please submit ONE completed form below for EACH student reviewed. Your grade as a PEER REVIEWER will then be assigned to you according to the following rubric PER PEER REVIEW:

4 pts Satisfactory - Sufficient Likert scale variation, clear marks on scale
2 pts Unsatisfactory - Little to no statistical Likert scale variation and / or unclear marks on scale
0 pts Element Missing

YOUR REVIEW - SECTION 1: Three Likert Scales scales

Likert scale assessment of student work shows enough statistical variation to show significant thought in grading. The same all good or all bad score for everything usual means little application of thought by the reviewer.

6 pts Satisfactory - Comments are legible, sufficiently detailed and show thought
4 pts Somewhat Satisfactory - Legible, with some detail but weak in thought
2 pts Unsatisfactory - Illegible and / or little thought, too short, not enough detail
0 pts Element Missing

YOUR REVIEW - SECTION 2: Comments

In the comments, the following two questions are specifically addressed:

THE GOOD What did you like about the project and why?

THE BAD What is amiss or should be changed and why?

UR GEOLOGY: Peer Review

Note: Anything marked in gray is filled in by the instructor. All other fields, checks, and write-ups to be completed by you!

Name:

Course section ID

Your PEER REVIEWER Grade:

%

/10

Fill out one of the Peer Reviews for each student presentation. You can earn up to 10 points for each completed peer review (up to 50 pts for 5 reviews) if these show evidence of thought, involvement and constructive criticism. Any additional review past 5 will count as extra credit. Please complete the following:

SECTION 1: Your Grading of the Project. This Section is completely confidential and will NOT be shown to the student whose work is being reviewed

Name of Student(s):

Title of Project:

This Project is a Poster Presentation Oral Presentation Paper to be published

SCIENTIFIC APPROACH

Criteria: Clearly Stated Hypothesis / problem; Logical Execution of Research; Accuracy of Data & Observations; Well-supported Conclusions; Consideration of Future Research, Connections to other Disciplines

1-----2-----3-----4-----5
Poor Excellent
Note: You may mark between numbers on the continuum!

VISUAL REPRESENTATION

Criteria: Shows data Relevant to Project; Application & Understanding of Scientific Method; Conclusions are Consistent with Data or Testing

1-----2-----3-----4-----5
Poor Excellent
Note: You may mark between numbers on the continuum!

PRESENTATION

Criteria: Clarity of Presentation; Effectiveness and Use of Visuals; Neatness & Visibility; Attractiveness; Citations

1-----2-----3-----4-----5
Poor Excellent
Note: You may mark between numbers on the continuum!



SECTION 2: This part will be forwarded to the student whose work is being reviewed

Title of Project:

Please comment in detail on the following (write legible ... or type):

THE GOOD What did you like about the project and why?

THE BAD What is amiss or should be changed and why?